ORIGINAL



1

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13 14

15 16

17

18

19 . 20

21

2223

2425

26

27

28

BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION RECEIVED

COMMISSIONERS

Susan Bitter Smith, Chairman

Bob Burns

Tom Forese

Doug Little Bob Stump

RESOURCE PLANNING AND PROCUREMENT IN 2013 AND 2014

RESOURCE PLANNING AND PROCUREMENT IN 2015 AND 2016

2015 JUL -2 P 1:07

AZ CORP COMMISSION
Arizona Corporation Commission

DOCKETED

JUL 02 2015

DOCKETED BY

Docket No. F-00000V=13-0070

Docket No. E-00000V-15-0094

COMMENTS OF THE JOINT SOLAR PARTIES

The Solar Energy Industries Association (SEIA)¹ and the Arizona Solar Energy Industries Association (AriSEIA)² ("Joint Solar Parties") submit these comments in response to Commissioner Little's letter dated June 16, 2015 (Docket No. E-00000V-13-0070) and Commissioner Burns' letter dated June 19, 2015 (Docket No. E-00000V-15-0094). We appreciate the attention the Commissioners are giving to this important issue and are grateful for the opportunity to respond.

The Joint Solar Parties agree that the Clean Power Plan should be fully considered in the 2016 Integrated Resource Plans (IRPs).

¹ SEIA is the national solar energy trade association. The comments contained in this filing represent the position of SEIA as an organization, but not necessarily the views of any particular member with respect to any issue.

² AriSEIA is a nonprofit trade association, representing local and national companies whose mission is to promote policies that promote greater use of solar energy in Arizona.

Regardless of what happens with the Clean Power Plan (CPP), the Joint Solar Parties believe that renewable energy, and solar in particular, can and should play an increasingly important role in Arizona utilities' 2016 IRPs. We are also keenly aware that the CPP could significantly influence the magnitude and timing of renewable energy resources included in those plans. As such, we strongly support the notion of allowing sufficient time for the CPP's impacts to be fully considered. Depending on the date the final EPA Rule is issued, we believe there may already be sufficient time in the process to do this. However, we also agree that some amount of delay may be sensible, and could allow the opportunity for more robust analysis of the options available.

Any extension of the IRP filing date should not detract from improvements to the IRP process included in Decision No. 75068

In Decision No. 75068, the Commission required certain changes to the 2016 IRP process and clarified its ability to take additional steps to improve the process. Some of these included:

- Pre-filing workshops led by the Commission
- Commission approval (rather than "acknowledgement") of the 3-year action plans
- Discussion in each IRP of plans for aging generation plants
- Consideration in each IRP of an expanded renewables portfolio

If the Commission decides to delay the 2016 IRP cycle, it should ensure that this delay does not jeopardize any of those recent improvements to the IRP process that were adopted in Decision No. 75068. For example, even with a delay, we would encourage the Commission to move forward with its pre-filing workshop process.

Additional time afforded by a delay should also be used to continue to improve the IRP process

Commissioner Burns articulated in his concurring opinion to Decision No. 75068 that a rulemaking may be necessary and worthwhile to solidify the improved IRP process. The Joint

Solar Parties support this. We note that the extra time afforded by a delay in the IRP cycle could also be used to ensure that this rulemaking is accomplished before the next plans are acknowledged or approved by the Commission. Thus, we suggest that any decision to delay the next IRP could be coupled with a decision to set this rulemaking process in motion.

Respectfully submitted this 3rd day of July 2015,

WW.

Kristin K. Mayes
3030 N. Third St., Suite 200
Phoenix, Arizona 85012
Attorney for the Solar Energy Industries Association

By Leel Hoello

1	Original and 13 copies of the foregoing filed this 3rd day of July, 2015 with:		
2	Docket Control		
3	Arizona Corporation Commission 1200 W. Washington Street		
4	Phoenix, Arizona 85007		
5	Copy of the foregoing delivered/mailed this 3rd day of July 2015, to:		
6			
7			
8	Dwight Nodes	1200 W. Washington Phoenix Arizona 85007-2927	
9		1200 W. Washington	
10	Janice Alward	Phoenix Arizona 85007	
11	Steve Olea	1200 W. Washington St.	
12	1	Phoenix Arizona 85007	
13	Jeff Schlegel	1167 W. Samalayuca Dr. Tucson Arizona 85704-3224	
14	Daniel Pozefsky	1110 West Washington, Suite 220	
15	Daniel Fozelsky	Phoenix Arízona 85007	
16	Cros Bottorson	Munger Chadwick 916 W. Adams - 3	
17	Greg Patterson	Phoenix Arizona 85007	
18		Western Resource Advocates	
19	David Berry	P.O. Box 1064	
20		Scottsdale Arizona 85252-1064	
21	Patrick Black	Fennemore Craig, P.C 2394 E. Camelback Rd, Ste 600	
22		Phoenix Arizona 85016	
23		Fennemore Craig, P.C	
24	C. Webb Crockett	2394 E. Camelback Rd, Ste 600 Phoenix Arizona 85016	
25		514 West Roosevelt	
26	Timothy Hogan	Phoenix Arizona 85003	
27	Bradley Carroll	88 E. Broadway Blvd. MS HQE910	
28		P.O. Box 711	

1		Tucson Arizona
2		Arizona Public
3	Lisa Malagon	Mail Station 971 Phoenix Arizona
4 5		Snell & Wilmer
6	Michael Patten	One Arizona Ce Phoenix Arizona
7	Jennifer Cranston	Gallagher & Ker
8		2575 E. Camelb Phoenix Arizona
9		
10		•
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21	·	
22		
23		

25

26

27

28

a 85701

Service Company 12 na 85072

L.L.P. enter na 85004

ennedy, P.A. back Rd. a 85016-9225